Scope
This Guidance Note relates to all fusion faces of any elements specified by the designer to be joined by welding, i.e. those for fillet welds as well as butt welds, whether special preparation is required or not.

Relevant clauses in the Standards
The clauses relevant to joint preparation are:
EN 1011 (Ref.1)
Part 1:
  General: 8.2
Part 2:
  General: 8.1, 8.2, Annex B
  Tolerances: 8.2 (fillet welds)
  Preparation: 10
  Assembly: 11.
EN 1090-2 (Ref.2)
  General: 7.5.1

The latter Standard recommends the use of EN ISO 9692-1 and EN ISO 9692-2 (Ref.3), where there are many examples of joint preparations suitable for use in bridge fabrication. These Standards detail dimensions and ranges of application including recommended welding processes.

In addition, EN 1090-2, 7.5.1.1 also recommends reference to EN 1993-2 (Ref. 4), where there is specific guidance on structural detailing of steel bridge decks including tolerances.

General
The typical forms of weld preparation in EN ISO 9692 are likely to be satisfactory in most applications. Modifications may be necessary to suit the position of welding or to accommodate a difficult access weld. Furthermore, bridge fabricators have approved procedures with particular joint preparations that suit their processes and methods. The ‘Introduction’ sections of the Standards recognize this by stating that the examples given cannot be regarded as the only solutions for the selection of joint type.

Contract specifications are often written giving only the weld type, size and position, and requiring the fabricator to propose such details to the designer for approval.

EN ISO 15609-1 (Ref.5) is the current specification for the content of welding procedure specifications. Included in the requirements common to all welding procedures is joint design, and it is necessary to prepare a sketch or make reference to standards which provide this information. Standards imply national documents, e.g. EN ISO 9692, or perhaps fabricator preferred details of joint types regularly used.

It is suggested that all welds in structural bridgework are sufficiently important to require detailed sketches and the requirement should be made clear in the contract documentation. However, see comment below about prequalified procedures.

Variability of preparation
Experience has shown that the consistency of the chosen preparation is as important to the performance of a satisfactory welded joint as the actual dimensions chosen in any application.

EN 1011-2 gives guidance on preparation, geometry (including tolerances), assembly, alignment of butt welds and fit-up of fillet welds. Certain of these parameters will fall into the category of “essential variables” for particular processes and applications. This implies that variation outside the permitted range of tolerance may have a significant effect on the performance of the fabrication and hence cannot be permitted.

The achievement of an intended penetration in fillet welds is of particular importance, especially if the fabricator offers a deep penetration process/procedure. The key design parameter is the weld throat dimension, although the weld size is usually specified by leg length in the UK. The effective throat depends on the weld size (leg length), the weld shape (i.e. the ratio of two leg lengths, ideally 1:1) and the penetration into the parent plates. The depth of penetration is not measurable externally and tight procedural control of the process is necessary to ensure success.

Penetration is governed by two main things, the process/procedure and the root gap (sometimes referred to as the fit-up). EN 1011-2 clause 8.2 gives an absolute upper limit of 3 mm for root gaps in fillet welds, but that is after saying that the edges and surfaces
should be in **as close contact as possible**. It should also be noted that there is a limit for imperfection for quality levels in EN ISO 5817 (Ref.6). For EXC3, quality level B applies and there is a formula to calculate the permissible root gap up to a maximum of 2 mm for material thickness greater than 3 mm.

Both Standards suggest that consideration should be given to increasing the leg length to compensate for a large gap. EN 1090-2 Clause 7.5.8 provides a formula for calculating the increased fillet size necessary to compensate for root gaps based upon the gap and the weld throat thickness.

### Preparations for hollow sections

For structures using hollow sections, especially where they meet at an angle, there are potential difficulties achieving satisfactory preparations all around the perimeter. EN 1090-2 Annex E provides weld preparations suitable for executing branch and in-line joints in hollow sections by applying the principles of EN 9692-1 specifically to this type of joint.

Most fabricators develop their own details for dealing with the transitions. This is usually a combination of varying the preparation locally and turning the elements during welding.

### Backing flats

The general advice is that these should be avoided if at all possible, by rearranging the joint details to permit welding from both sides. Where this is not possible, a steel flat may be tacked onto one of the prepared ends, **in the root of the preparation**. In that position it can be examined for cracking prior to permanent welding; if a tack is intact it can be incorporated into the weld; if not, it should be completely ground out before it is welded over. Only qualified welders, working to a qualified procedure, should be used for the attachment of backing flats, and, because of the small weld size and higher heat sink, it is particularly important to observe the preheating requirements. Figure 1 shows typical plane and T butt weld applications using backing strips.

![Figure 1 - Attachment of backing flats](image)

Note that the backing strip should fit as closely as possible to the plate surfaces and in any event with a gap of not more than 1 mm.
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